I move that the Bill be taken into consideration and I pray that Standing Order 32 be waived for that purpose.

The motion was put and carried. The Standing Order was suspended.

CLAUSES 1 TO 3.

The question that Clauses 1 to 3 stand part of the Bill was put and carried.

Clauses 1 to 3 were added to the Bill,

TITLE AND PREAMBLE.

The question that the title and preamble stand part of the Bill was put and carried.

The title and preamble were added to the Bill.

I move that in clause 1 (i) instead of the figures "111" the figures "1120" be substituted.

MR. S. NARAYANA AIYAR: I second it.

The amendment was put and carried.

MR. K. G. KUNJUKRISHNA PILLAI: I move that the Bill be passed.

MR. S. NARAYANA AIYAR: I second it.

The motion was put and carried.

The Bill was passed.

THE TRAVANCORE PROVIDENT FUNDS ACT (AMENDMENT) BILL.

MR. K. G. KUNJUKRISHNA PILLAI: Sir, I rise to introduce the Travancore Provident Funds Act (Amendment) Bill. I move that the Bill be read in the Assembly.

MR. S. NARAYANA AIYAR: I second it.

The question that the Bill be read in the Assembly was put and carried.

SECRETARY: The title of the Bill shall be "The Travancore Provident Funds Act (Amendment) Bill".

MR. K. G. KUNJUKRISHNA PILLAI: As stated in the Statement of Objects and Reasons, it will be seen that the Provident Fund which is intended to be a provision for the benefit of a person and his family when everything fails, is being resorted to for a different end. There are two exemptions in the Provident Funds Act. One is that money may be withdrawn from the Provident Fund for a temporary purpose and the other for paying premia to the insurance companies. It has been found that if money is drawn for the purpose of paying premia for insurance, a large number of difficulties arises. For one thing, the money drawn out of the Funds may not be sufficient for paying the premia. Supposing the premium is paid for one particular instalment and not paid for a subsequent instalment, the money drawn out of the Fund is also lost. And most of the Provident Funds, particularly, the Government Provident Fund Rules, do not provide for adequate control. If moneys are allowed to be drawn out of the Fund, for payment of premia, the Government will have to permit the person to

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

[Mr. K. G. Kunjukrishna Pillai.]

withdraw money for another occasion and also see that further instalments shall be paid regularly. To avoid all these difficulties, it is proposed to remove that clause which allows Provident Fund moneys to be drawn for payment of premia to insurance companies.

In view of the absence of any amendment, I pray that Standing Order 82 be suspended, and that the Bill be taken into consideration.

The Staading Order was suspended, and the motion was put and carried.

CLAUSES 1 AND 2.

The question that clauses 1 and 2 stand part of the Bill was put to vote and carried.

Clauses 1 and 2 were added to the Bill.

TITLE AND PREAMBLE.

The question that the title and preamble stand part of the Bill was put and carried.

MR. K. G. KUNJUKRISHNA PILLAI: I move that in clause 1 (i) instead of the figures "1119" the figures "1120" be substituted.

MR. S. NARAYANA AIYAR: I second it.

The motion was put and carried.

MR. K. G. KUNJUKRISHNA PILLAI: I move that the Bill be passed.

MR. S. NARAYANA AIYAR: I second it.

The motion was put and carried.

The Bill was passed.

THE CIVIL PROCEDURE CODE (AMENDMENT) BILL.

MR. K. G. KUNJUKRISHNA PILLAI: Sir, I rise to introduce the Travancore Civil Procedure Code (Amendment) Bill. I move that the Bill be read in the Assembly.

The question that the Bill be read in the Assembly was put and carried.

MR. S. NARAYANA AIYAR: I second it.

SECRETARY: The title of the Bill shall be "The Civil Procedure Code (Amendment) Bill".

MR. K. G. KUNJUKRISHNA PILLAI: As mentioned in the Statement of Objects and Reasons, the House will see that the object of this amendment is merely by way abundant caution. There is a provision in the Provident Funds Act which exempts Funds from attachment in certain cases, but the same exemption has not been made in the Civil Procedure Code. It has been found that in a particular case a learned Munsiff issued an attachment order on the Provident Fund of a particular party. It has been found in British India that under section 60 of the British Indian Civil Procedure Code an exemption has been made. Therefore it is proposed that the same exemption be introduced in section 52 of the Civil Procedure Code here which will exempt Provident Funds from attachment in certain cases. The exemption, as I already stated, is contained in the Provident Funds Act also.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly